In re:	William Thomas Beighley Jr. Valerie Gayle Beighley	Chapter 7
	Debtor(s).	Bky Case No.: 04-33343
	NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION	TO DISMISS CHAPTER 7 CASE
ТО:	nterest:	
	The United States Trustee has filed a motion t	o dismiss the above-captioned case for
substaı	ntial abuse under 11 U.S.C. §707(b).	
	The Court will hold a hearing on this motion, l	pefore the Honorable Dennis D. O'Brien at 9:30
a.m. oı	n October 7, 2004, in Courtroom No.228A, at	the United States Bankruptcy Court, United
States	Courthouse, at 316 North Robert Street, in St.	Paul, Minnesota.
	Any response to this motion must be filed and	delivered not later than October 4, 2004, which
is three	e days before the time set for the hearing (exclude	ling intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal
holiday	ys), or filed and served by mail not later than Se	ptember 27, which is seven days before the time
set for	the hearing (excluding intermediate Saturdays,	Sundays and legal holidays). Local Bankruptcy
Rule 9	006-1.	
Dated:		CLERK OF BANKRUPTCY COURT
	Ву:	Deputy Clerk

In re:

William Thomas Beighley Jr. Valerie Gayle Beighley

Chapter 7

Debtor(s).

Bky Case No.: 04-33343

NOTICE OF HEARING AND MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 707(b)

TO: The debtor(s) and other entities specified in Local Rule 9013-3.

- 1. The United States Trustee, by his undersigned attorney, moves the Court for the relief requested below and gives notice of hearing.
- 2. The Court will hold a hearing on this motion, before the Honorable Dennis D. O'Brien at 9:30 a.m. on October 7, 2004, in Courtroom No.228A, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, United States Courthouse, at 316 North Robert Street, in St. Paul, Minnesota.

Any response to this motion must be filed and delivered not later than October 4, 2004, which is three days before the time set for the hearing (excluding intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays), or filed and served by mail not later than September 27, which is seven days before the time set for the hearing (excluding intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays). Local Bankruptcy Rule 9006-1.

- 3. UNLESS A RESPONSE OPPOSING THE MOTION IS TIMELY FILED,
 THE COURT MAY GRANT THE MOTION WITHOUT A HEARING.
 - 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 157 and

- 1334, FED.R.BANKR.P. 5005 and Local Rule 1070-1. The United States Trustee has standing to file this motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 586(a) and 11 U.S.C. Section 307. This proceeding is a core proceeding. The petition commencing this Chapter 7 case was filed on June 4, 2004. The case is now pending in this Court.
- This motion arises under 11 U. S. C. Section 707(b) and FED.R.BANKR.P. 1017,
 2002 and 4004. This motion is filed under FED.R.BANKR.P. 9014 and Local Rules 9013-1 to 9013 Movant requests that this case be dismissed.
- 6. From the lists, schedules and statements filed by the debtors, it appears that they have the ability to pay a substantial portion of their dischargeable debt without hardship.
 - 7. The debtors have listed the following debts:
 - (a) On Schedule D, Creditors Holding Secured Claims, the debtors have listed one claim totaling \$ 3,900.00. The claim is secured by a 1998 Ford Escort. The debtors plan to retain the vehicle.
 - (b) On Schedule E, Creditors Holding Unsecured Priority Claims, the debtor have listed one claim to the IRS totaling \$1,350.00 for taxes owing for 2002 and 2003.
 - (c) On Schedule F, Creditors Holding Unsecured Nonpriority Claims, the debtors have listed twenty nine claims totaling \$ 40,402.68.
 - (d) The debts listed in the debtor's Schedule of Liabilities appear to be primarily consumer debt. These debts are comprised of credit incurred to purchase consumer items. *See*Debtor's Schedule F
 - 8. On Schedule I, Current Income of Individual Debtor(s) the debtors have listed a Total

Monthly Net Income of \$5,636.43. However in review of copies of the debtor's paycheck stub for pay period ending 7/15/04 his actual Monthly Net Income, after taxes and support payments, is \$6,653.91. The debtors are married and the debtor has four children from a previous marriage.

- 9. On Schedule J, Current Income of Individual Debtor(s) the debtors list total monthly expenses of \$5,932.36. Several of the expenses are excessive and should be reduced by the following. Clothing in the amount of \$315.00 should be reduced by \$115.00. Alimony/Support in the amount of \$790.00 should be eliminated the deduction is taken from the debtor's paycheck, as calculated above. Total claimed expenses of \$5,932.36 minus reductions of \$905.00 equals Adjusted Monthly Expenses of \$5,027.36.
- 10. Total Monthly Net Income of \$6,653.91 minus the adjusted monthly expenses of \$5,027.36 provides the debtors with a monthly disposable income of \$1,626.55. Over a 36 month Chapter 13 plan the debtors would have \$58,555.80, enough to pay 100% of their Unsecured Priority claim of \$1.350.00 and 100% of their unsecured Non-priority claims of \$40,402.68.
- 11. The debtors are currently employed, and there does not appear to be any likelihood that his employment will be terminated at any time in the future.
- 12. The debtors have the ability to repay a substantial portion of their general unsecured debt and there appears to be no reason for their unwillingness to do so.
- 13. In the alternative, the United States Trustee does not oppose conversion of the case to Chapter 13.

WHEREFORE, the United States Trustee respectfully requests that this chapter 7 case be dismissed.

Dated: September 7, 2004 Respectfully submitted,

HABBO G. FOKKENA United States Trustee Region 12

By: /s/ Sarah J. Wencil
Sarah J. Wencil
Trial Attorney
United States Trustee's Office
1015 United States Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415
IA ATTY No. 14014
(612) 664-5500

VERIFICATION

I, Sarah J. Wencil, attorney for the United States Trustee, the movant named in the foregoing

motion, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of

my knowledge, information and belief.

Executed on: September 7, 2004

Signed:

/s/ Sarah J. Wencil

Sarah J. Wencil

Trial Attorney

In re:

William Thomas Beighley Jr. Valerie Gayle Beighley

Chapter 7

Debtor(s). Bky Case No.: 04-33343

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS

This memorandum is submitted pursuant to Local Rule 9013-2(a). It appears that dismissal of this Chapter 7 case is appropriate under 11 U.S.C. 707(b).

Analysis

A Motion to Dismiss for Substantial Abuse is governed by Section 707(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, which provides:

After notice and a hearing, the court, on its own motion or on a motion by the United States trustee but not at the request or suggestion of any party in interest, may dismiss a case filed by an individual debtor under this chapter whose debts are primarily consumer debts if it finds that the granting of relief would be a substantial abuse of the provisions of this chapter. There shall be a presumption in favor of granting the relief requested by the debtor.

11 U.S.C. § 707(b)(1994). The United States Trustee bears the burden of showing substantial abuse. *In re Dubberke*, 119 B.R. 677, 679 (Bankr. S.D. Iowa 1990).

(1) The Debtor's Debts Are Primarily Consumer Debts.

Section 101(8) of the Bankruptcy Code defines "consumer debts" as "debt incurred by an individual primarily for a personal, family, or household purpose." 11 U.S.C. § 101(8) (1994). "Debt" is defined as a "liability on a claim." 11 U.S.C. § 101(12) (1994). "Claim" is defined as a "right to

payment, whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, or unsecured." 11 U.S.C. § 101(5)(A) (1994).

The purpose of the debt generally determines whether a debt is a consumer debt. *Zolg v*.

Kelly (In re Kelly), 841 F.2d 908, 913 (9th Cir. 1988); In re Palmer, 117 B.R. 443, 446 (Bankr.

N.D. Iowa 1990). If the credit transaction does not involve a business transaction or a profit motive, it is usually regarded as a consumer debt. Palmer, 117 B.R. at 446 (citing In re Booth, 858 F.2d 1051, 1054-55 (5th Cir. 1988)); In re Berndt, 127 B.R. 222, 223 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991) (citing Kelly and Booth, but distinguishing Booth by concluding that private investment debts, not used to further an ongoing business, were consumer debts).

In the present case, it appears that the debtor's debts are 100% consumer debts.

(2) The Granting of Relief under Chapter 7 Constitutes Substantial Abuse of Chapter Seven of the Bankruptcy Code.

To satisfy the "substantial abuse" standard under Section 707(b), the Eighth Circuit has ruled that the primary consideration is whether the debtor has the ability to fund a 13 plan. *In re Walton*, 866 F.2d 981, 984 (8th Cir. 1989) (following *In re Kelly*, 841 F.2d 908, 914-15 (9th Cir. 1988); *United States Trustee v. Harris*, 960 F.2d 74, 76 (8th Cir. 1992); *Fonder v. United States*, 974 F.2d 996, 999 (8th Cir. 1992); *Huckfeldt v. Huckfeldt (In re Huckfeldt)*, 39 F.3d 829, 831 (8th Cir. 1994) (comparing § 707(b) to § 707(a)).

Whether the debtor is eligible to file a petition under Chapter 13 after a Section 707(b) dismissal is also not relevant factor, and likewise, the debtor cannot be forced to file a Chapter 13

petition after a 707(b) dismissal order is entered if the debtor is qualified for Chapter 13 relief. Fonder,

974 F.2nd at 999. "The essential inquiry remains whether the debtor's ability to repay creditors with

future income is sufficient to make the Chapter 7 liquidating bankruptcy a substantial abuse of the

Code." Id.

In addition, the Eighth Circuit holds that a bankruptcy court may reject the credibility of

amended schedules when the amendments are offered after a Section 707(b) motion is filed and the

amended schedules seek to decrease income and/or increase expenses because the debtor swore as to

the accuracy of the initial schedules. *Fonder*, 974 F.2d at 1000.

In the present case, the debtors have the ability to repay 100% of their unsecured priority and

general unsecured creditors in less than thirty six months. Their ability to fund a Chapter 13 plan is

grounds to dismiss this case for substantial abuse under Section 707(b).

WHEREFORE, the United States Trustee submits this memorandum in support of his motion to

dismiss the above-captioned case as a substantial abuse of the Bankruptcy Code.

Dated: September 7, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

HABBO G. FOKKENA

United States Trustee

Region 12

By:

/s/ Sarah J. Wencil

Sarah J. Wencil

Trial Attorney

United States Trustee's Office

1015 United States Courthouse

300 South Fourth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55415

MN ATTY No. 14014

3

In re:			
William Thomas Beighley Jr. Valerie Bayle Beighley		Chapter 7	
Debtor	r(s).	Bky Case No.: 04-33343	
	ORDER		
At St. Paul, Minnesota, the	day of	, 2004, the hearing on the	
Motion of the United States Trustee's O	office for an Order disr	missing this Chapter 7 case pursuant to 11	
U.S.C. §707(b) came before the unders	signed. Appearances	were noted in the record.	
The Court made its findings of f	fact and conclusions of	f law on the record pursuant to Rule 52 of	
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure an	d Bankruptcy Rule 70	52.	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
The Chapter 7 bankruptcy case	filed by the above-cap	ptioned debtors is dismissed pursuant to	
11 U.S.C. Section 707(b).			
		Ionorable Dennis D. O'Brien d States Bankruptcy Judge	